



## Bruce MacCoy <consultingarborist.usa@gmail.com>

## Yang Fisher 6660 tree report.

Bruce MacCoy <consultingarborist.usa@gmail.com> To: rafisher@richardafisher.com

Fri, Feb 1, 2019 at 7:59 AM

For: Mr. Richard Fisher, Architect:

Dear Mr. Fisher,

Regarding the Yang residence at 6660 East Mercer Way, Mercer Island, Washington, 98040, the city Development Services Group (MICC 19.10.005) requires a tree inventory and report prepared by a qualified arborist. I have attached that inventory with this report.

## TREE INVENTORY

- 1.) All existing large trees on the property (over 10 inches in diameter) are numbered with corresponding tags on each tree. There are no large trees on adjacent properties with driplines or critical root zones that extend into the property.
- 2.) Each tree on the inventory is identified by size ( diameter ).
- 3.) Each tree in the inventory has the proposed tree status. The tree number in red indicates that an existing tree is proposed for removal. The tree number in black indicates that an existing tree is proposed for retention.
- 4.) Each tree type or species is identified on the inventory.
- 5.) All Exceptional trees have been identified. There is only one exceptional tree larger than 24 inches in diameter on the inventory, tree # 1. There are no exceptional trees on the property less than 24 inches in diameter.
- 6.) The health or condition rating of each tree is as follow: 1.) Very good, 2.) Poor, 3.) Poor, 4.) Poor, 5.) Good, 6.) Fair, 7.) Poor, 8.) Poor, 9.) Poor, 10.) Poor, 11.) Very good, 12.) Good, 13.) Very good, 14.) Very good, 15.) Very good, 16.) Good.

## ARBORIST REPORT

1.) I (Bruce Mac Coy ) am a qualified arborist with credential numbers of PN - 0159A / 10828. I have been acknowledged as a qualified arborist by the city arborist on

previous projects.

- 2.) Each trees diameter, species (or type) are in the tree inventory. The health / condition are noted above in # 6. The critical root zone and limits of allowable disturbance are noted on site plan A1.1 as the blue line designated "continuous tree protection fencing".
- 3.) The limits of allowable disturbance were determined on a case by case basis using on site indicators of dripline, existing infra structure (drain lines, stone walls, etc.), expected construction activity and expected allowable crz compromise. All of these factors, and more, are reflected in the blue line on A1.1 noted as continuous tree protection fencing. I recommend that any work
- 4.) I recommend that any work proposed within the limits of disturbance protection areas, including after care, be conducted with an arborist on site during the work.
- 5.) Each tree on the tree index that is designated as not viable for retention ( trees # 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 12) is under 24 inches in diameter, in poor health, or is in the footprint of the new proposed home. There is no reasonable alternative action.
- 6.) There will be no impact of the necessary tree removal to the remaining trees.
- 7.) I recommend tree protection measures in the form of expanded plastic orange fence be installed prior to the initiation of the removal of the existing house. This fencing is in accord with MICC 19.10.
- 8.) The suggested location and species of supplemental trees is included in the landscaping plan.

Bruce Mac Coy (PN - 0159A / 10828)
Consulting Arborist
MS Forestry / Entomology / Plant Pathology

1611 105th Avenue, SE Bellevue, Washington, 98004

Home: 425 - 451 - 1813 Desk: 425 - 450 - 1584 Cell: 425 - 246 - 5778

www.ConsultingArborist-USA.com

Alternate email:

ConsultingArborist@msn.com



Virus-free. www.avast.com